

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,767	06/07/2002	Mark Andrew Stocker	LAL-C534-US	4486
7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel C Stelter Division IP Counsel			GRANT, ALVIN J	
Cincinnati Mac	hine A Unova Company			
4701 Marburg Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cost Center ACM			3723	82
Cincinnati, OH 45209				0 =

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/018,767	STOCKER, MARK ANDREW					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin J Grant	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

The specification does not include the headings prescribed by 37CFR 1.77(b). The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 16 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 7, change "thereby to removing" to read, "thereby removing".

Claim 28, line 5, change "for processing and/or display" to read, "for processing and/or displaying".

Claim 16, line 14, change "utilizing" to read, "utilizing".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,767 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 8-15 and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the grinding grit" in 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the notch profile" in 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the external circumference" in 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Regarding claim 13, the phrase "of the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "of the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 9. Regarding claim 14, the phrase "or otherwise" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or otherwise"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claims 1-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,220,938 and claims 1, 6, 7 and 9

Art Unit: 3723

of U.S. Patent No. 6,428,397 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method as claimed, for conditioning the grinding wheel including the notch is similar to those claimed in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,220,938 and 6,428,397. The patents do not claim the step of storing information derived from the processing of the wafers and grindstone. Ozaki, in U.S. Patent No. 5,185,965 discloses a method of grinding notches of semiconductor wafer in which information is stored for use as a guide in subsequent grinding procedures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have stored the processing information of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,220,938 and 6,428,397 as taught by Ozaki, for use as a guide in subsequent grinding procedures.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) end harte

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 3700**